

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,432	08/28/2003	Sylvana Miceli	671280.6	7244
27162 7590	08/27/2004		EXAM	INER
CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN, CECCHI,			MAI, HUY KIM	
STEWART & OLST	- <del>-</del>			D. DED 111 D. (DED
5 BECKER FARM ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROSELAND, NJ (	07068		2873	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- Whe
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	0.00	10/650,432	MICELI, SYLVANA	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Huy K. Mai	2873	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON rill, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commo	unication.
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 28 August 2003		
	•	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
-	closed in accordance with the practic			
Dispositi	on of Claims		``	
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap	nnlication	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 28 August 200 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ ob ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar he correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies or application from the Internation	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been	pplication No	ge
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment		" <b>–</b>		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) ∐ Interview S O-948) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed on Aug. 28, 2003 is acceptable.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "selective" should be expressed in a better word such as --predetermined--, for example, because the is no such selection defined in claim 10. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kneier (3,840,294).

Kneier discloses in Fig.1, column 1, line 61 through column 2, line 2, a combination for the application of makeup, comprising a frame 10 having a pair of lens supports 18 for receiving a pair of eyeglass lenses 24 in a vertical plane; a pair of temples 14, each said temple being secured to a respective lens support 18 near a bottom of said frame and extending in an upwardly angled direction from said frame; and a nose piece 16 on said frame between said lens supports for resting on a nose of a user. Kneier also suggest in column 2, lines 1-2 that temples 14 may be separate components which are hinged or otherwise suitable connected to the lens support 18.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,432

Art Unit: 2873

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in this art to modify the

Page 3

Kneier's combination by forming each temple being hingedly secured to a respective lens

support as suggested by Kneier for folding the combination into the non-use position. Although

Kneier does not discuss the space from the lenses to the spaced from the eyes of the user to

permit access to the eyes of the user, such a space can be varied depend upon each individual by

moving the nose piece along the user's nose for a sufficient space for the application of makeup.

Further Kneier does not prohibit a user to makeup the eyes by moving the nosepiece of the

combination along the nose to provide a sufficient the space between the lenses and the eyes for

the application of makeup.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

Primary Examiner

Hunh Mar

Art Unit 2873

HKM/

August 26, 2004